CITY COLLEGE OF SAN FRANCISCO

Wednesday, September 25, 2024, 2:30-5:00 pm
MUB 140 or Via Zoom

September 25 Meeting Materials | ASEC Zoom Meeting Pro Tips | Community Guidelines | Guide to CCSF Acronyms

ca fterperorn

staupn c terport ca

r ceded. l as are-2.998 on thom5 (t)-2.9004 (i)-3.995 (n)13.007 (he)9.12.998 (e(e-2.998 ol-2.998 (e-2.998 ()-3.9el.993 (f)-2 7

Committee (PGC) is working on updating their goals. Faculty should be aware of

requests; Cherisa Yarkin responded that requests were reviewed by herself and AVC Charles and noted technology requests overlapped

Strategies committee, Office of Research and Planning, Admissions & Records, financial aid, and other appropriate Student Affairs and Academic Affairs areas;

Whereas, the CCSF Academic Probation task force met from December 2023 through September 2024, discussing the best replacement terminology, implementing a student survey about replacement language, revising the letter students receive when put on notice, and updating various college webpages;

Whereas, the Academic Senate Executive Council passed Resolution 2024.05.22.6A Amendment to Academic Probation resolution approving the changes to AP 6.22 and AP 6.30; therefore be it,

Resolved, the Academic Senate Executive Council (and, who (through) this work (log, adds) classification task force, recommends the replacement of Academic Probation with Academic Notice in all college documents that are not regulated by state or federal law (i.e Title 5 and/or Education Code), currently including the College Catalog, various program webpages and the letter students receive communicating they are on Academic Notice;

3. Councilmember Persiko asked how students are involved in the planning process; Councilmember Fuchs noted that Heather Brandt is currently serving; he noted that continued outreach and support from students is needed and that ACCC noted Guided Pathways influence was significant and clarifying for students and that ASEC can encourage these hard conversations within departments rather than waiting/allowing the feeling of "coming from above" through internal review and assessment
4. Councilmember Bosson asked for clarity on whether we should contribute to this, and recommended to do so
5. Councilmember Law noted that college-wide plans talk about goals and outcomes yet misses "how to achieve goals"
6. Councilmember Stephenson noted the need to remember our community college includes adult education and lifelong learners which have been heavily cut and may not conform to "completion of student goals" in a similarly measurable fashion under the term "enrollment management"
7. Councilmember Potter noted the need for data to understand enrollment; 2nd VP Fuchs noted the need for qualitative data in addition to quantitative data; Councilmember Bucshbaum noted noncredit data continues to be needed to be current, relevant, and updated and that FTES and apportionment funding affects these programs but that fresh data in Argos is now available

8. New Business
   A. Faculty travel - Kimberly Keenan, Faculty Travel Coordinator
      1. [Faculty travel final report 2023-24](#)

      Kimberly Keenan reported there were more requests and expenditures after implementing the pilot program than expected. This is a move toward codification of past practices. The pilot success was shared with the Chancellor who recommended continued focus on professional development for faculty

      a. Questions and comments
         1. Councilmember Teti noted there are separate positions by name (adding "Travel Coordinator"); use the term "district's digital service" instead of mentioning AdobeSign software by name to allow flexibility in choosing services
         2. Councilmember Stephenson expressed concern about the "first-come, first-served" nature of funding prioritization. What about faculty applying later in the year when funds may be out? This happened to him in this pilot year.
         3. Councilmember Buchsbaum noted that reminding departments to look to other funds in addition to faculty travel funds is a key element to this process.
         4. Pres. Litzky asked about the possibility of a front-facing dashboard to describe allocations. Is there an equity assessment such as which

departments, identities, or other demographics? Kimberly responded there is reporting on how many people used the full $4K

5. Landi Ehnli asked how we can prioritize those who did not take advantage previously?

2. Draft Faculty PD &

4. Councilmember Kennedy asked are these measured regularly or by modality for audits? The rubric is the method for this in the next accreditation cycle by ACCJC peer review team
5. Councilmember Taha - how does this affect current courses? All online instructors should be trained to meet the RSI standards; perhaps training support for legacy online courses should be reviewed as part of OLET
6. Councilmember Buchsbaum - is or will there be retraining or assessment of online instructors to help avoid risk during an audit? Faculty peer-review for in-person for online courses should be changed and adjusted and reviewed by AFT
7. Councilmember Marrujo-Duck - what was the result of the internal audit? Each visiting team of ACCJC had requested random classes to audit and we were commended, however ACCJC has reviewed other colleges for RSI and found areas of concern

9. Council adjourned at 5:03pm

Appendices
Appendix 1: President's Report slide deck

Appendix 2: Fan5 presentation slide deck